Moral Failure and Corporate Responsibility: A Critical Analysis of the Volkswagen Dieselgate Scandal

Business Ethics Report — Educational Sample

ACADEMIC LEVEL
UK Level 7 (Postgraduate / Masters)

TARGET GRADE
Distinction — 70%+

WORD COUNT
~1,500 Words

REFERENCING
Harvard (UK)

DATE
June 2026

PRODUCED BY
AssignProSolution.com

1. Introduction

Business ethics occupies an increasingly central position within contemporary management scholarship, reflecting a growing recognition that firms are moral as well as economic actors embedded within complex networks of social and environmental obligation (Crane, Matten and Spence, 2019). The question of how organisations should behave — and what recourse exists when they do not — sits at the intersection of normative ethical theory, stakeholder governance, and corporate accountability frameworks. Corporate scandals provide uniquely instructive empirical material for this inquiry, laying bare the mechanisms through which ethical constraints are bypassed, stakeholder trust is violated, and institutional systems of oversight are circumvented.

This report critically examines **Volkswagen AG's Dieselgate emissions scandal** — revealed in September 2015 when the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) disclosed that VW had deliberately installed defeat device software in approximately 11 million diesel vehicles globally (EPA, 2015). The scandal represents one of the most thoroughly documented cases of corporate moral failure in recent history, making it an ideal subject for applied ethical analysis. The report applies three canonical normative frameworks — utilitarianism, deontological ethics, and virtue ethics — alongside Freeman's (1984) stakeholder theory and Carroll's (1991) CSR pyramid, before evaluating the corporate governance deficiencies that enabled systematic deception at scale.

> ***Critical framing:*** *A distinction-level ethics report does not merely describe what happened — it interrogates why it was wrong through competing theoretical lenses, acknowledges where frameworks produce conflicting verdicts, and evaluates the institutional conditions that make ethical violation structurally possible.*

2. Case Overview: The Dieselgate Scandal

From at least 2009, Volkswagen engineers installed specialised software — a 'defeat device' — in diesel vehicles equipped with the EA189 engine. The software monitored driving conditions and, upon detecting the specific parameters of regulatory emissions testing (steering angle, speed pattern, duration), reduced nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions to compliant levels. Under real-world driving conditions, the same vehicles emitted NOx at levels between 10 and 40 times the legal European and US limits (Transport & Environment, 2015). The deception was not the act of rogue engineers — it was a deliberate, sustained organisational strategy endorsed at senior management levels (Mansouri, 2016).

Table 1: Dieselgate — Key Facts and Scale of the Scandal

Dimension	Data / Evidence
Vehicles affected	~11 million worldwide; ~1.2 million UK; ~482,000 USA

NOx excess	10–40× the legal EU and US limit during real-world driving (Transport & Environment, 2015)
Duration of deception	At least 2009–2015 (6+ years of deliberate regulatory fraud)
Financial penalties	>$30 billion in global fines, settlements and vehicle buy-backs (Reuters, 2021)
Leadership consequences	CEO Martin Winterkorn resigned; multiple engineers and executives charged or convicted
Health impact	~1,200 premature deaths in Europe attributable to excess VW NOx (Oldenkamp et al., 2016)
Reputational damage	Share price fell ~35% in one week; >$30B penalties; ESG investor confidence destroyed

Table 1: Summary of scale and consequences. Sources: EPA (2015); Transport & Environment (2015); Oldenkamp et al. (2016); Reuters (2021).

Critically, the scale and duration of the fraud suggest this was not an isolated act of individual misconduct but a manifestation of deeper systemic moral failure within the organisation. Mansouri (2016) argues that Volkswagen's internal culture — characterised by intense engineering hubris, hierarchical authoritarianism, and short-term emissions target fixation — created the institutional conditions within which ethical constraints were systematically overridden. This structural dimension requires analysis beyond individual culpability.

3. Normative Ethical Analysis

Normative ethical theory provides the philosophical infrastructure for evaluating corporate conduct. Three canonical frameworks — each rooted in a distinct moral tradition — are applied to Dieselgate, their respective verdicts triangulated to produce a comprehensive ethical assessment.

Utilitarian Analysis	Deontological Analysis	Virtue Ethics Analysis
Bentham (1789) & Mill (1863): ~1,200 premature deaths; harm to millions; regulatory damage. Net welfare: overwhelmingly negative.	Kant's (1785) categorical imperative: universalisation self-defeating; treats persons as mere means. No consequentialist mitigation.	MacIntyre (1981): sustained organisational dishonesty over 6 years. Virtues of honesty, integrity & justice entirely absent.
MORALLY IMPERMISSIBLE	**CATEGORICALLY WRONG**	**VICIOUS CHARACTER**

3.1 Utilitarian Assessment

From a utilitarian standpoint, Volkswagen's conduct produces a severely negative net welfare calculus. Oldenkamp et al.'s (2016) epidemiological analysis estimated that excess NOx emissions attributable to VW defeat devices in Europe alone caused approximately 1,200 premature deaths and significant increases in respiratory morbidity. When aggregated with the global population exposed to elevated NOx from 11 million vehicles over at least six years, the utilitarian case against VW is overwhelming.

Critically, a sophisticated utilitarian analysis must also account for rule-utilitarian considerations. Rule utilitarianism (Mill, 1863) evaluates actions not merely by immediate consequences but by the utility-maximising rules they instantiate. VW's defeat device fraud violated the rule 'emissions regulations must be honestly complied with' — a rule whose universal observance generates substantial aggregate welfare through cleaner air, functioning regulatory systems, and consumer confidence in environmental standards. Violation of this rule, even by one major actor, imposes systemic costs on entire regulatory ecosystems that cannot be captured in single-firm consequence analysis.

3.2 Deontological Assessment

Kant's (1785) categorical imperative offers perhaps the most unequivocal condemnation of VW's conduct. Applied via the Formula of Universal Law — act only according to that maxim whereby you can at the same time will that it should become a universal law — the defeat device strategy fails immediately: if all automotive manufacturers deployed defeat devices, regulatory emissions testing would become meaningless, public health protection would collapse, and the competitive advantage VW sought would be eliminated. The strategy is therefore self-defeating at the level of universalisation.

The Formula of Humanity — treat rational persons always as ends in themselves, never merely as means — adds a second dimension of condemnation. VW treated regulators, consumers, and the public health system as instruments for its competitive advantage, systematically instrumentalising their trust, their health, and their institutional authority. Kant's framework admits no consequentialist mitigation: the moral prohibition on treating persons as mere means is categorical (Bowie, 2017).

3.3 Virtue Ethics Assessment

MacIntyre's (1981) revival of Aristotelian virtue ethics directs moral evaluation towards the character of the moral agent rather than discrete acts or consequences. Virtuous organisations — like virtuous individuals — display integrated character traits: honesty, integrity, justice, practical wisdom (phronesis), and accountability. VW's systematic deception over a six-year period is incompatible with any of these virtues. The organisation displayed not merely a single moral lapse but a sustained pattern of organisational vice — what Solomon (1992) might characterise as institutionalised dishonesty — suggesting deep character failure rather than situational ethical error.

Crucially, virtue ethics directs attention to the culture within which individual moral agents operate. Annas (2011) argues that organisations can cultivate or corrupt moral character through their incentive structures, norms, and leadership behaviours. VW's internal culture — documented by Mansouri (2016) as combining engineering perfectionism with authoritarian performance pressure — systematically cultivated compliance and technical achievement whilst suppressing honesty and moral courage. Employees who raised concerns reportedly faced career consequences, illustrating the structural suppression of practical wisdom at an institutional level.

4. Stakeholder Theory and CSR Obligations

Freeman's (1984) stakeholder theory posits that firms have legitimate obligations to all parties whose interests are affected by corporate operations — not solely to shareholders. This framework directly challenges the shareholder primacy model advanced by Friedman (1970), who argued that the sole social responsibility of business is to increase profits within legal rules. Dieselgate illustrates the catastrophic consequences of an organisation that implicitly adopted Friedman's reductive conception of corporate obligation whilst simultaneously constructing an elaborate facade of CSR commitment.

Table 2: Stakeholder Impact Analysis — Volkswagen Dieselgate

Stakeholder	Impact Level	Nature of Harm
General Public	**CRITICAL**	~1,200 premature deaths; millions exposed to illegal NOx; right to clean air violated
Regulators (EPA/EU)	**CRITICAL**	Six years of deliberate regulatory fraud; institutional authority undermined globally
Customers	**HIGH**	Purchased vehicles on fraudulent environmental claims; buy-back disruption; trust destroyed
Shareholders	**HIGH**	Share price fell ~35% in one week; >$30B penalties; ESG confidence destroyed; long-term value destruction
Employees	**MEDIUM**	Job security threatened; engineers faced criminal prosecution; morale and recruitment damaged
Automotive Industry	**MEDIUM**	Trust in diesel destroyed; tighter regulations on compliant competitors; systemic credibility harm

Figure 1: Stakeholder impact analysis. Adapted from Freeman (1984). All major stakeholder groups experienced net negative outcomes.

Carroll's (1991) four-part CSR pyramid — economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities — provides a complementary analytical lens. VW satisfied only its economic responsibility (short-term profitability), whilst violating its legal obligations (environmental compliance), ethical obligations (honest conduct), and making its philanthropic commitments appear profoundly cynical. The scandal illustrates what Crane and Matten (2016) term 'greenwashing' in its most extreme institutional form: public commitment to environmental values co-existing with deliberate environmental fraud.

Figure 2: Carroll's (1991) CSR Pyramid Applied to Volkswagen Dieselgate

Adapted from Carroll (1991). VW satisfied only its economic obligation whilst systematically violating all higher-order CSR responsibilities.

5. Corporate Governance Failures

Corporate governance structures exist precisely to prevent the kind of systematic ethical failure that Dieselgate represents — establishing mechanisms through which boards, auditors, and regulators can hold management to account (Tricker, 2019). VW's governance architecture failed on multiple dimensions, providing structural conditions within which fraud was institutionally possible over an extended period.

Table 3: Corporate Governance Failures at Volkswagen AG

Governance Failure	Mechanism	Theoretical Framework
Concentrated ownership & board capture	Porsche-Piëch family and State of Lower Saxony controlled supervisory board; independent oversight structurally compromised	Agency theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976): principals and agents shared fraud benefits, eliminating accountability
Absent whistleblowing	Authoritarian culture; employees raising concerns faced career consequences; no protected disclosure channels	Ethical climate theory (Victor & Cullen, 1988): egoistic climates suppress ethical dissent
Compliance subordinated to engineering	Environmental compliance treated as engineering problem to "solve"; R&D; oversight had no ethics function	Schein (2017): assumption that "engineering excellence justifies regulatory workarounds" embedded in culture
Audit & oversight failure	Defeat device undetected by external auditors or regulators for 6 years despite widespread internal knowledge	Tricker (2019): audit is meaningless when management controls information flows to the board

Table 3: Corporate governance failures. Sources: Mansouri (2016); Jensen and Meckling (1976); Tricker (2019); Schein (2017).

Critical evaluation: *Governance reforms following Dieselgate — including enhanced board independence and the EU Whistleblower Directive (2019) — address structural symptoms. However, Treviño et al. (2014) argue that structural reforms are insufficient without corresponding ethical culture change; rules without values produce compliance without integrity. It was the absence of organisational integrity — not oversight mechanisms — that was the root cause of Dieselgate.*

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

Volkswagen's Dieselgate scandal constitutes one of the most comprehensively documented cases of corporate moral failure in contemporary business history. This report has demonstrated that the scandal warrants condemnation from every major normative ethical tradition: it fails the utilitarian welfare calculus through an estimated 1,200 premature deaths; it violates Kant's categorical imperative through absolute non-universalisability and instrumental treatment of persons; and it represents sustained organisational vice through the virtue ethics lens. Stakeholder analysis confirms that every significant stakeholder group experienced net harm, whilst Carroll's CSR pyramid reveals that VW satisfied only its economic obligation at the expense of all higher-order responsibilities. Corporate governance analysis identifies the structural conditions — concentrated ownership, cultural authoritarianism, and absent ethical infrastructure — that made institutionalised fraud possible.

Recommendations

Table 4: Strategic Recommendations for Ethical Organisational Reform

#	Recommendation	Rationale
1	**Embed Ethics in Board Architecture**	Establish a board-level Ethics & Sustainability Committee with genuine independence to investigate R&D; decisions and compliance culture (Tricker, 2019)
2	**Mandate Stakeholder Impact Assessment**	Require multi-stakeholder impact analysis for major product/strategy decisions, operationalising Freeman's (1984) stakeholder theory in governance
3	**Cultivate Ethical Climate Intentionally**	Develop ethical organisational climate through leadership modelling, values integration, and psychological safety for ethical dissent (Treviño et al., 2014)
4	**Establish Protected Whistleblowing**	Implement robust protected disclosure channels ensuring employees who raise concerns face no career jeopardy — enabling moral courage (Near & Miceli, 1985)

Ultimately, Dieselgate illuminates a fundamental tension at the heart of contemporary corporate capitalism: the structural pressure to maximise shareholder returns can, without strong ethical infrastructure and genuine stakeholder governance, systematically override the moral constraints that make commercial activity socially legitimate. Firms that treat ethics as a compliance cost rather than a constitutive value are not merely at regulatory risk — they are morally deficient actors whose conduct cannot be justified by any serious normative framework.

8. Reference List

Annas, J. (2011) *Intelligent Virtue*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bentham, J. (1789) *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation*. London: Payne and Sons.

Bowie, N.E. (2017) *Business Ethics: A Kantian Perspective*. 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Carroll, A.B. (1991) 'The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders', *Business Horizons*, 34(4), pp. 39–48.

Crane, A. and Matten, D. (2016) *Business Ethics: Managing Corporate Citizenship and Sustainability in the Age of Globalization*. 4th edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crane, A., Matten, D. and Spence, L. (2019) *Corporate Social Responsibility: Readings and Cases in a Global Context*. 3rd edn. Abingdon: Routledge.

EPA (2015) *EPA, California Notify Volkswagen of Clean Air Act Violations*. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency.

Freeman, R.E. (1984) *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston, MA: Pitman.

Friedman, M. (1970) 'The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits', *New York Times Magazine*, 13 September, pp. 32–33.

Jensen, M.C. and Meckling, W.H. (1976) 'Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure', *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), pp. 305–360.

Kant, I. (1785) *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*. Trans. M. Gregor (1998). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

MacIntyre, A. (1981) *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.

Mansouri, N. (2016) 'A case study of Volkswagen unethical practice in diesel emission test', *International Journal of Science and Engineering Applications*, 5(4), pp. 211–216.

Mill, J.S. (1863) *Utilitarianism*. London: Parker, Son, and Bourn.

Near, J.P. and Miceli, M.P. (1985) 'Organizational dissidence: the case of whistle-blowing', *Journal of Business Ethics*, 4(1), pp. 1–16.

Oldenkamp, R., van Zelm, R. and Huijbregts, M.A.J. (2016) 'Valuing the human health damage caused by the fraud of Volkswagen', *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(11), 114010.

Reuters (2021) 'Volkswagen's Dieselgate bill hits $33.3 billion after profit warning', *Reuters*, 27 May.

Schein, E.H. (2017) *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. 5th edn. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Solomon, R.C. (1992) *Ethics and Excellence: Cooperation and Integrity in Business*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Transport & Environment (2015) *Mind the Gap: Why Official Car Fuel Economy Figures Don't Match Up to Reality*. Brussels: Transport & Environment.

Treviño, L.K., den Nieuwenboer, N.A. and Kish-Gephart, J.J. (2014) '(Un)ethical behavior in organizations', *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, pp. 635–660.

Tricker, R.I. (2019) *Corporate Governance: Principles, Policies, and Practices*. 4th edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Victor, B. and Cullen, J.B. (1988) 'The organizational bases of ethical work climates', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 33(1), pp. 101–125.